

United States Patent and Trademark Office

THE ASS TO SOME

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,797		02/12/2002	Franz Sorg	LO29-003	LO29-003 9143	
21567	7590	08/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ST. JOHN P.S. BUDD, MARK OSBO					
601 W. FIR SPOKANE		UE, SUITE 1300 201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2834		
				DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/075,797	SORG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Budd	2834			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address			
THE MA - Extensic after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sty received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may note that the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.		
Status			\			
1)⊠ R	desponsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 April 2004.				
	_ _	This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	\$		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	າ of Claims					
4a 5)⊠ C 6)□ C 7)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>17-49</u> is/are pending in the applicant of the above claim(s) is/are with claim(s) <u>36-38</u> is/are allowed. claim(s) is/are rejected. claim(s) <u>20,22,23,28,32,34 and 45</u> is/are of claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application	1 Papers					
10)∐ Th Al Ro	ne specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) pplicant may not request that any objection to eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the content or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	i).		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s))					
1) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date <u>2-12-02&4-12-04</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,797

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, 18, 24-26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pla.

Pla teaches a system for damping vibrations in a transformer fluid that extracts heat from the transformer windings. Oscillations (vibrations) in the fluid are detected by sensor #66, #67, after the detection the results are supplied in the form of a controlled loop #20 to piezoelectric actuators #64, \$65.

By actuation of said actuators oscillations are produce, which are in antiphase to the oscillations produced by turbulance (pressure variations) in the fluid, and whose frequency and amplitude are at least appropriately the same. See col. 1, lines 23-46 and col. 3, line 31-col. 4, line 41. Applicant has argued that Pla cannot anticipate these claims since Pla doesn't explicitly use the term frequency. Pla does specifically mention that the damping signal must be out of phase and of equal amplitude to the signal to be damped, and concludes that the object is to create dynamic pressure oscillations in the transformer fluid #22 caused by the operating transformer core and windings". To achieve the effect, it would be necessary that the frequency of the drive and damping signals be equal as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. Thus, Pla inherently considered the frequency as well as the amplitude in order to have an operable device.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,797

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 39-43, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nye or Goehegan.

Nye (figs. 1-5, col. 5 In 19-40) and Geohegan (figs. 1B, 1C) both teach a system ford damping unwanted vibrations in a structure comprising piezoelectric drivers and sensors connected in a control servo loop with a signal processor.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19, 21, 27, 20, 30, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pla.

As noted above, Pla teaches the system for damping oscillations in a fluid, Pla doesn't explicitly teach locating the drivers/sensors at areas of maximum expected deformation and doesn't explicitly use piezoelectric sensors. However, since optimization of a known device to a particular application has long been held to be within the skill expected of the routineer, selection of drive/sensor sights for maximum efficiency would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Substitution of equivalent sensors would also be within the skill expected of the routineer, and since piezo elements used for sensors are well known per se (official notice taken) it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art that Pla could use piezoelectric sensing elements.

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 43, 44, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nye or Geoheyan.

As noted above, Nye and Geohegan teach the vibration damping system for a structural application. The structures of Nye and Geohegan do not explicitly contain cooling channels and are not explicitly an optical or semiconductor lithography device. However, adding cooling for its known, expected benefits would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Fluid carrying conduits are among the most common cooling structures used (official notice taken). The mere inclusion of a known device or system into any prior art structure is not seen to make the total combination unobvious. Also since no explicit optical device or semiconductor lithography device is recited the mere mention of such devices fails to patentably distinguish from the systems taught by Nye or Geohegan. That is to say it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the damping systems of Nye or Geohegan for any known structures and not limit their applications to the examples explicitly shown by these references.

Claims 20, 22, 23, 28, 32, 34 and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 36-38 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/075,797 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark O Budd whose telephone number is (703)308-3929. The examiner can normally be reached on M. Budd from 6am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nester-Ramirez, can be reached on (571)272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Budd/ds

08/11/04